

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/089,351 03/27/2002 Dana Paul Gruenbacher 8278 1392 27752 7590 10/08/2003 EXAMINER THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY PRUNNER, KATHLEEN J INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE 3751 CINCINNATI, OH 45224 DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ILED: 10/08/2003

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,351	GRUENBACHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathleen J. Prunner	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections under 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 112, second paragraph.			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amend	ment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-9 and 11-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 Septe Examiner.	ember 2003 is a)☐ approved o	r b)⊠ disapproved by the	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	7.112	
10. Other:		Mustal Mar.	-
	S	UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN	ER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700